## A

## LETTER

FROM A

GINTLEMAN in the COUNTRY,

TOA

MEMBER of PARLIAMENT in Town,

## CONTAINING

lemanks upon a Book lately published, intitled, "The Conduct and Treatment of "John Crookshanks, Esq; late Commander of His Majesty's Ship the Lark."



LONDON:

Printed in the Year MDCCLIX.

AMONT Minima. : W 0.1 BEMARISANT RO SES and by the state LOLLD ON: Tranted in the News C

(B)

## REMARKS, &c.

SIR,

Your Request at this Time requires a more immediate Compliance than usual, as you want to obtain a proper Information of a Matter that seems to you of a very extraordinary and interesting Nature. I like your Curiosity: Your Brother is in the Navy, so is my Son; and who knows that it may not be their hard Fate to be inved in such a Scene of Oppression, as has happened to a Gentleman well known in the Navy, who was looked upon as an Honour to the Service, before he was marked out as the Victim of abused Power!

This was my principle Inducement to look into the Book intitled "The Conduct "and Treatment of Captain Crookshanks." I was told, by very competent and experienced Judges, it was worth my Perusal and Attention. I have read it with great Deli-

beration;

B

beration; and therefore very frankly off you my Opinion, which is formed upon the Facts as they arose before me, with an Impartiality that I would always preserve.

Prepossessions are dangerous; let u fearch for Truth. The Affair of Captain Crooksbanks may be industriously and artfull overlooked; but I affure you, whatever may be the Opinion of some, there are many sensible and experienced Gentlemen in Maritime Affairs, whom I have heard mention this hard Case with Compassion and Resentment.

I AM told, a certain grave, sententious Gentleman should say, that Capt. Crookshanks deserved to be hanged: That he knew it was the Opinion of a Noble Lord; and that it was impossible his Lordship should be misinformed. Such captious, positive, inflexible Men, should be left alone: The humane, generous, and intelligent Part of the World will consider and judge for themselves. Your Considered in me is not misplaced; for I will never oppose my Opinion to direct yours. You may believe what I say, and found your Opinion upon the Case, from the following ingenuous Observations. You are

y off

pon th

an Im

let u

aptair

tfull

ma

fen.

time

this

ent

en-

iks

as

it

e

n the Scence of National Business, and have not Leisure to look at every thing; but let me recommend this to your serious Consideration.

It is very apparent, that Capt. Crookshanks by a mis-timed Humanity to Capt. Erskine, calt himself into the Power of his Enemies, who took the Advantage, and have feverely courged him for omitting to put Captain Erskine under an immediate Arrest, as in frickness he ought, and would have done, ifhe had fufficiently regarded his own Safety. His Innocence feems to have led him into the Mistake: He wanted " a fair, open, and im-" partial. Enquiry into the whole of this " Transaction." But Captain Erskine and Admiral Knowles, took indefatigable Pains to preclude him from fuch Justice in America. That Justice has been witheld too in England, and in a Manner scarce to be credited, if it was not authenticated by fuch Facts, Names, and Circumstances as would certainly be contradicted, if all he relates had not the Support of Truth.

LET us view the Charge in its utmost Latitude. Capt. Erskine accuses Capt. Crook-shanks, his Commodore, "with not at-

tacking the Enemy in point-blank Shot "running from the Enemy, and giving a "Assistance to the Warwick." It appear that Capt. Erskine exhibited his Complain and Charge in an unfair and clandestin Manner: But is it not surprising that he did not appear to support his Charge, and vindicate his own Conduct, which has been frequently impeached, but always overlook'd.

THE Accusation of Capt. Crookshanks extended to Life, as well as Character and Station. You may think the Charge has been proved, because Capt. Crookshanks fell under the Sentence of a Court-Martial: But you will find him nevertheless innocent of the Charge; for that Sentence is inconsistent with the Resolves upon which it is founded.

REVERSE the Picture, and let us see what Capt. Crookshanks says in his Defence. You will there find the Sentiments of a skilful Officer.

HE describes the Chase and Engagement with great Precision as a Seaman; and he approves himself such by his Conduct. I think, the greatest Fault he committed, was

Sho

ng n

pea

olain

ftin

e di

vin.

Deer

k'd

ex-

taen

n-

ut

of

-

5

in chasing out of his Course, and laying himself open to the thirteenth Article of War. If he had taken the Glorioso, he might have answered for it: I will not trouble you with Sea-Phrases, and indeed Capt, Crookshanks has avoided all Perplexity of that Kind: He speaks intelligibly, and seems to seel what he speaks. You will find in his Letter to the Hon. Henry Legge. Esq; a concise Description of the whole Engagement, and a modest Exculpation of himself.

In my Opinion, Capt. Crookshanks has fully refuted the whole Charge laid against him by Capt. Erskine, and how the Court-Martial could act with any Degree of Severity against him, or that they did not homourably acquit him, is to me astonishing. Not only the Injustice, but the Malice of the Charge, are sufficiently exploded, which, one would think, might have served to direct the Hand of Power whom to savour, and whom to chastise.

CAPTAIN Erskine, wanted to play the Hero, or he would not have given such a Misrepresentation of his tacking, and attacking, as appears in the Beginning of his Complaint

plaint against Capt. Crooksbanks. The whole Stress of the Charge consists in a malicious Insinuation, that Capt. Crooksbanks was guilty of Cowardice; since Capt. Erskine expressly afferts, that Capt. Crooksbanks " ran from the Enemy, and never returned to his "Assistance". But an unanimous Resolve of the Court-Martial, acquits him of any Suspicion of that Kind.

To view the Evidence that was given on the Trial, any Man would think that Capt. Crooksbanks had disproved every Part of the Charge. If not, what could prompt Admiral Knowles to defire that Capt. Grookshanks " would dispatch to finish the Evidence, and call as few Witnesses as pos-" fible," The Admiral urged, that the Fleet was under a Necessity of failing in a Day or two: But that was only to mask his Partiality, which was fully shewn; for the Fleet did not fail till almost a Fortnight afterwards. This was a poor, mean, and cruel Artifice; as it was exposing the Life of one Man to fave another. I fay Life, for Capt. Crookshanks had his attacked by the Words of the Charge: Therefore, he should not have been defired to shorten his Evidence,

16

hole

ious

uilty

essly

rom

his

olve

any

on

pt,

he

d-

k-

/i-

f-

ne

is

e

Evidence, if the Court were not of Opinion that the Charge was invalidated. No, in that Case, the Judges should be Council for the Prisoner, who should not be deprived the Use of all regular Means for his Defence. The Reputation of an Officer is fuch a delicate Thing, that Life is of little Importance without it; and it certainly was the Duty of the Members constituting that Court Martial, to have encouraged, and incited Capt. Crookshanks, to produce all the Evidence he could in Vindication of his Life and Honour. It appears, that Capt. Crookhanks could have called a great Number of Witnesses, whose Names he had given to the Judge-Advocate; but he complied with the Admiral's unreasonable Request, and hortened his Evidence; he might have safely rested his Cause there: He had proved enough to remove the Charge; he had all the Reason in the World to expect nothing less than an honourable Acquittal. Yet he was dismissed, cashiered! For what! Was it for Misconduct in not tacking? He was thought guilty of no more by his Judges; and they might have feen, that Charge was unsupported by any Evidence. The Resolves and Sentence

Sentence implied only an Error in Judgment But the Punishment inflicted and continued is widely disproportionate to the Offence admitting it to have been fully proved.

LET us observe that Capt. Crooksbanks, in answer to the Charge of Capt. Erskine, shewed the Court, that the Lark was within Point-Blank Shot, and that he used his best Endeavours to support the Warwick. This is fully proved throughout the whole Course of the Evidence; as also that Captain Crooksbanks was resolved to renew the Engagement and attack the Enemy seperately, which he would have done, if he had not been prevented by Capt. Erskine, who made a Signal of Distress, and Capt. Crooksbanks, in Obedience to an express Article of War, was obliged to discontinue the Chase.

What is called the Crown-Evidence, contains nothing relating to the Courage of Capt. Crookshanks, except the Question put from the Court to Mr. Cahoone, the Lieutenant of Marines, who was asked, Whether he observed any Backwardness, or Signs of Fear in Capt. Crookshanks while running up to the Enemy, and particularly while engaged?

nent

ued

nce

nks.

ine.

hin

best

his

rrfe

ain

nly,

ot

de

5.

٢,

f

gaged. His Answer was "he did not observe "any, or any thing, but what seemed to "him consistent with the Behaviour of a "prudent and good Officer."

However, the Court-Martial passed a Sentence which can never be justified. They agreed, "that Capt. Crooksbanks by his Misser conduct and imprudent Behaviour, fell under Part of the 14th Article of War; and therefore they thought fit to adjudge him to be dismissed, and cashiered during his Majesty's Pleasure."

The Resolves of the Court-Martial, on which this Sentence was grounded, is inconsistent with the Sentence itself. The fourth Resolve was, "That Capt. Crookshanks was "guilty of a Misconduct, in not tacking "when he saw the Warwick first engaged." This is proved erroneous; though the Court-Martial sounded their severe Sentence on that Resolution. The whole Mismanagement is proved upon Capt. Erskine: And the Imprudence alledged in the second Resolve against Capt. Crookshanks, is disproved. The first and third Resolves are immaterial; but sufficiently answered. The fifth Resolve acknowledges,

ledges, "that Part of the Charge is not ful"ly proved." To this it is answered, that
"the Charge implies Cowardice; and no"thing else seems to be meant; therefore,
"no Part of the Charge is proved; for, by
"the fixth Resolve, the Court did unani"moully acquit Capt. Crookshanks, of the
"Suspicion of Cowardice, Disaffection, or
"Want of Zeal." It is very remarkable, that
this last Resolve, was pronounced by the
President of the Court-Martial, who was exasperated to find it omitted by the Judge-Advocate. This is acknowledged by the President himself: What then must you think
of the Judge-Advocate!

IT was with great Difficulty that Captain Crooksbanks could obtain a Court-Martial, after being confined all the time Mr. Knowles thought proper to take him from Louisbourgh to Barbadoes, and from thence to Jamaica. That was severe Treatment indeed; and it looks as if they expected that the Heart of a gallant Man must have been broke, under such a complicated Scene of Oppression, which would have stopt all Enquiry. The Gentlemen who composed the Court-Martial, seemed to have been imposed upon and misled;

11-

at

0-

e,

ŷ

1-

le

or

at

ne le

-

.

k

n

2

6

t

led; otherwise, as Gentlemen and Men of Honour, they would never have pronounced a Sentence which is contradicted by their own Resolves. A Sentence absurd, as well as cruel: But its Absurdity sprung from the Crast of an artful Hand; and its Cruelty, from Inattention, want of Discernment, or undue Insluence over some, who would never have joined in such a Sentence, if they could have forseen the unhappy Consequences that have attended it.

THERE are such Things as Worms of Power, who destroy unseen, and are always at Dark Work, but they must be seen at last. Could you, or any Man, believe that any Person should have the Effrontery to mutilate the Sentence, or Resolves of a Court-Martial? It will hardly gain Credit; yet, in this Instance it appears true

It was the 6th of February 1748, when Capt. Crookshanks first applyed in Jamaica, to the Deputy Judge-Advocate, for an attested Copy of the Resolves and Sentence of the Court-Martial, he soon obtained the latter, but was refused the former by Order of the Admiral, who had his Reasons no doubt.

C 2

Capt,

Capt. Crookshanks applied to the Lords of the Admiralty after his Arrival in England, and they ordered their Secretary to furnish him with a Copy of the Resolves, as they were transmitted to their Office.

8

THE Judge-Advocate omitted to read the fixth Resolve: The President challenged him upon the Omission, and pronounced it himself. This was confirmed by the Members; and one of them faid, Capt. Crook-Chanks had only mistaken in Point of Time. But there was a Combination against Capt. Crookshanks out of Court, by Persons who had it in their Power, and not less in their Inclinations, to mutilate the Resolves, and to fend them in what Light they pleased to the Board of Admiralty, where a Prepofession had been already sown in Prejudice of Capt. Crookshanks who was still to be hunted down as a Sacrifice to Envy and Pride.

fo

D

b

h

THE Copy of the Resolves which Capt. Crookshanks obtained from the Secretary of the Admiralty, seem very different from those made by the Court-Martial. They are set forth in Page 123; where they appear

the

and

with

ans-

the

ged

it

n-

k-

e.

t.

ar as if they were another Set of Resolves om the genuine ones set forth in Page 94. It not apparent, from hence, why a Copy the Resolves was refused in America, where it ought to have been granted acording to Custom? Those transmitted to the Admiralty-Board are false and spusious. Was it to impose upon those who bresided there? Or, was it to cloak the Insuffice that had been committed in America? The Resolves are a Part of the Records of the Court, and should have been as invioably preserved.

The genuine Resolves were six, and comprehend no part of the Sentence. The spurious Resolves are only three, the last of which contains Part of the Sentence. Capt. Crookshanks immediately complained to the Secretary of the Admiralty, that these Resolves were nothing like the same with those read in Court: And he has produced the Authority of the President of his Court-Martial, to prove that the Resolves set forth by himself are genuine; consequently, those he received from the Secretary of the Admiraly are spurious. The President, in his Letter to Capt. Crookshanks, Page 140. tells him

him in these Words, "The fixth Resolve in the Copy you sent me, I do persectly well remember (upon the Neglect and Omission of the Judge-Advocate) to have been pronounced by myself in the Manuer ner following: Sir, the Court do, by an unanimous Resolve, acquit you of the Suspicion of Cowardice, Disassection, or the Want of Zeal"

We are told, the Judge-Advocate was not content with omitting the fixth Resolve: But he afterwards altered it, and blended it with another Resolve, in which the Words Want of Zeal were omitted. If so, this is a shameful and unprecedented Mark of Injustice; nor is any Man safe in the Power of People who first threaten to take away Life by every invidious Artisice, and afterwards break through the sacred Forms of Law, to perpetrate their malicious Designs.

We live in a free Country, where every Member of the Community ought to receive the full Force and Benefit of the Laws. This is very evident from Magna Charta, and the Bill of Rights; but more conspicuous in the Habeas Corpus Act, which has provided

al

and

ave

an-

an

he

01

as

:

it

ls

is

r

ovided that no Subject shall be hurt by the and of Power. Infallibility does not beng to human Beings; the best Man may r, or be deceived: Juries have repented of eir Verdict; and Judges of their Sentence: utit is the Duty of honest Men to acknowdge their Error when they find their Mifke: Worthy Men in Service are under any Restraints, open to common Decepon, and liable to undue Influence. But is to be hoped there are few Men who ould forget the Dignity of Rank, the Glow Honour, and the Purity of Justice, by ving themselves up to a mean and impruent Confidence in one Person, who might ake false and artful Impressions on them hich they might afterwards discern and be hamed to own. What shall we think of icipline, when a junior Officer is permitd, nay even encouraged, to make an Acwation against his senior Officer, who had heavy Charge against him, not only for biobedience, but even of as much as abounted to Cowardice? What can we say Court-Martials, if we see a Man hunted ut of his Command, and the Records of e Court altered to make him look criminal, hen in Fact, he was acquitted? Should

not fuch Proceedings be revised, and the Authors punished, by those invested with superior Authority?

THERE never was a more glaring Instance of a confederate Kind of Partiality to destroy the Reputation of a Man, than what appear throughout the whole of this Affair. Capt Erskine is not so culpable as others; he had indeed disobeyed Orders, took upon him to act independantly of his superior Officer, frus trated his Defign of attacking the Enemyto advantage, and imprudently drew upon himself a separate Engagement. Captain Crookshanks treated him with too much Tenderness; yet Capt. Erskine was too conscious of his own Misconduct to think it would pass without Enquiry; and therefore he took the Advantage, first, by clandestinely sending away Lieutenant Hughes, when the Montagu Bilander parted Company for Louisbourgh, with a Complaint to Commodore Knowles against Capt. Crookshanks, and afterwards parting from his commanding Officer contrary to Orders.

MR. HUGHES left the Squadron 9 Days after the Engagement, and arrived at Louisbourgh

nc

roy

pt

to to on in

wirgh, nine Days before the Arrival of he Warwick. This Hughes was a Lieuenant recommended to Commodore Knowles. nd was only a Passenger on board the Warwick. When Capt. Crooksbanks went on ward that Ship, immediately after the Engagement, he found Mr. Hughes on the Quarter-Deck, and asked him how they could be so hasty in firing the Gun as a Signal f Distress? Mr. Hughes replied, he knew (a) nothing of any Gun being fired. That Gun carried a 24 Pound Shot, and was fired of under Mr. Hughes, who upon the Trial of Capt. Crookshanks deposed (b) that a Gun lid go off. You may form your own Opinim of this Gentleman's Veracity; and may magine what Kind of Emissary he was, to arry the Complaint of Capt. Erskine to Commodore Knowles, who become instantly orejudiced against Capt. Crookshanks, without waiting to know what he could fay in his own Vindication. It was a very unjustifiable Step n Capt. Erskine, to take the Liberty of lending any Person out of his Ship without he Knowledge of his commanding Officer: And it was a very extraordinary thing for Com-

Commodore Knowles to act so unbecomin the Character of a Commander in Chief. to receive the Complaint of a junior against fenior Officer, whose Arrival was daily e pected, in consequence of his own Order The Commodore, in his extraordinary Wa precipitately took the Matter into Confide ation, and formed his Opinion of the who Transaction on the fingle Authority of the Account given him by Mr. Hughes; which Opinion he hastily sent to the Duke Bedford and Lord Anfon. Hence might rise a Prejudice against Capt. Crookshanks the Board of Admiralty, whose Membe might easily be imposed upon at that Di tance, by a Misrepresentation of Facts an Circumstances.

CAPTAIN CROOKSHANKS arrived at Ne foundland the 13th of August; and the 15th he received a Letter from Commodo Knowles, informing him, that he had Complaint against him from Capt. Ersking and that he must immediately repair to Louis bourgh, to answer the same at a Court-Mattial. Capt. Crookshanks arrived at Louisbourg on the 4th of September, and was received with an affected Civility by Commodol Knowle

min ef,

inft

y ex

der

Wa

ide

ho

ft

hic

e

nt i

ks

be

Di

an

5t

lo

d

ne

11

a

snowles, who declared the high Regard he ad for him, and faid, he was the last Man eshould have suspected to be charged with thaving in the Manner Capt. Erskine had shibited to him. Capt. Crookshansk affured he Commodore, that he could prove very inwarrantable Behaviour against Captain Erskine, and that he was confident the ill Success would appear to be owing to his Mismanagement alone. But the Commodore ad already decided the whole Affair, by ending his partial Opinion to England; and she had begun, he was determined to end tin that Manner, rather than appear to alter is Opinion, or acknowledge himself in an Error, and that he had been deceived by Hughes and Erskine.

Is the Commodore had acted with Imparhality, and agreeable to his Station, he would have ordered both Captains to be tried, as each had complained of the other; and Capt, Erskine, as the junior Officer, should have been tried first. But Mr. Knowles told Capt, Crookshanks he should be tried by Commodore Legge, who was stationed off the Leward Mands: And Capt. Crookshanks then declared, that his Defence would greatly consist in D2 charging

charging Capt. Erskine with disobedient and unwarrantable Behaviour. Capt. Crookshank was desirous of being tried as soon as possible; but his Trial was put off from time to time, till they got to famaica, attended with many aggravating Circumstances: While i was thought proper to skreen and counternance Capt. Erskine, who never was brough to any Kind of Trial, nor was Captain Crooksshanks permitted to bring the Conduct of his Adversary in Question, though it was necessary for him to do it in his own Defence.

MR. KNOWLES suspended Capt. Crook Shanks, and gave the Command of his Ship to Mr. Hughes: While Mr. Erskine wa appointed Captain of the Canterbury, or board which Ship, the Commodore hoifted his Broad Pendant. This was a very striking Instance of Partiality, and served to increase the Prejudice which the Commodore himself had infused among the Squadron, against Capt. Grock shanks, ; for he went on Board the Warwick, and thanked the Officers and Men for their gallant Behaviour in the Action; and faid, the Lark's Company would have behaved as well, if their Captain had led them to the Engagement. Such Proceedure bank

offi

e to

with

e

nte

gh

ook

his

el

Proceedure was ungenerous, and beneath the Dignity of the Commander in Chief of a British Squadron, whose Opinion, right or wrong, must Influence his Officers, and of course become adopted throughout every Ship in the Squadron. Calumnious Reslections, and malicious Infinuations, were every where thrown out by Capt. Erskine and Mr. Hughes against Capt. Crookshanks, to blast his Character and load him with Infamy: Every Obstruction was thrown in his Way to render him sunprepared for his Defence; and his Trial was shamefully procrastinated.

THE first Notice of Trial that Capt. Crook-shanks received, was sent him in a very indecent and disrespectful Manner, on the 7th of January; but, on the 11th, the Day appointed for the Trial, after the Signal was made for all Captains, and they were met, Commodore Knowles sent for Captain Crook-shanks, and told him before them, that he could not be tried till he came to Januara. Those Captains perceived this was a great Shock to Capt. Crookshanks, who had suffered four Months Imprisonment, and to whom the least Delay of Trial must be very mortifying, under his severe Treatment and hard

Cir-

Circumstances. He was tried at Jamaica, where he had not the Benefit of confronting his Accuser, and was treated in a shameful Manner by the Judge Advocate.

Major Laurence, and three other Gentlemen, were Passengers on Board the Lark, at the Time of the Engagement; and Capt. Crookshanks, when they arrived at Louisburgh, requested of Commodore Knowles, that their Depositions might be taken, and admitted as viva voce Evidence. The Judge-Advocate took their Depositions, which were not produced in Court, nor permitted to be read on the Trial. Capt. Crookshanks was not allowed the Copies of any Depositions; but those Gentlemen favoured him with the Purport of theirs, duly legalized before Governor Hopson.

THE Judge-Advocate informed Capt, Crooksbanks, that if the Deposition of any Witness was made before a Notary-Public, it would be admitted on his Trial as Evidence. However, he would suffer no such Depositions to be even read, because they might influence the Court in Favour of Capt. Crooksbanks. His Disappointment, in this

this Respect, was aggravated by the Partiality shewn to Capt. Erskine, whose long Deposition was publickly read in Court, where he did not appear himself, as he ought to have done, to have supported his Charge. If the Judge-Advocate would not admit of fuch Evidence, he should not have permitted that Deposition to be read in Favour of Capt. Erskine, without granting the fame Indulgence to Capt. Crookshanks, who had applied to the Judge-Advocate for Copies of the Depositions, which were forbid by the Commodore, after the Judge-Advocate, under his own Hand, had promised to send them to Capt. Crooksbanks when collected.

THE Judge-Advocate, instead of granting Copies of the Depositions, told Captain Crookshanks, "He could not find, he was to be his Advocate; but it was the Duty of his Office to destroy him if he could." And yet he had told him, "He should always be ready to render him any Services consistent with his Office." Snares were laid, and Means used, to influence those who were to give in their Depositions, and Copies of those that

were taken were shewn about. This was inconsistent with the Office of the Judge. Advocate, who also took the Depositions of feveral Persons in the Hearing of others that had not deposed, and those Depositions were fuffered to lie open to public View. He feems to have forgot the Nature of his Office, and to have funk beneath himself in his judicial Capacity. It was very extraordinary in him to disclose his Opinion to any Person on the Contents of the Depositions, and much more to condescend to hold a Familiarity with the common Seamen on Board What think you were his Views, the Lark. in thus abusing his Office, and degrading himself? You may imagine, as he was the Servant of the Commodore, he was only the Implement of Power. There is an Inconfistency of Character in this Man. He acknowledged, " That he could not con-" ceive, by what he had found in the De-" positions, how Capt. Erskine was to prove " the Charge." He was fenfible it could not be proved, and that Capt. Erskine was afraid to appear and vindicate himself. But the Commodore had rashly undertaken to represent what he now knew to be a Misrepresentation: He had flounced over Head and

25

e-

of

at

re

le

n

V

and Ears into the Matter, had misplaced his considence, and was afraid to expose his wn Imprudence and Partiality: Therefore, nundeserved Oppression must be continued, and supported by irregular Proceedings; for the Cause of Capt. Erskine, now became the Cause of Commodore Knowles; the other had imposed upon the latter, who took him under his Protection, and both suff be justified together.

LIEUTENANT Cahoone's Deposition was ejected. Mr. Crispo, the second Lieuteant of the Lark, was Brow beat by the udge-Advocate. What then must have on the coercive Power upon the common Men? Did the Judge Advocate reject great Part of what they had drawn up? Did he ayit was Nonsense, or foreign to the Purpose? tappears he did; as also that he neglected o take the Depositions at Louisbourg, when he Facts where recent, and the least Cirumstance might have been recollected. But t was determined, that Capt. Crooksbanks hould not have the Benefit of a speedy Trial: twould ferve his Cause, and endanger Capt. Erskine, who had now covered himself with he Commodore's own Cloak. Ninety-three

E

Days

Days elapsed without taking one Deposition Why? The Delay of the Trial was too or spicuous to pass unnoticed; and it was though proper to charge Capt. Crookshanks with the Delay. A strong Report was spread, that I had made an Application to the Commodo for postponing his Tryal: The Report, index could gain no Credit; and Capt. Crookshant told Commodore Knowles he must be set sible the real Fact was quite the Reverse But the Commodore was cautious of giving any answer to silence such a vile Aspersion.

I NEVER before met with fuch an or pressive, barefaced, unjustifiable scene Partiality: It should be detected, that other may be deterred from making a bad Ufe Power. It began at Louisbourg, was car ried to Barbadoes, and continued at 70 maica. It was the 4th of September 1747 when Capt. Crooksbanks arrived at Louis bourg; and it was the 1st of February 174 before he was brought to his Trial at Ja maica; during which Time he underwen the severest Mortifications; and nothing bu a Consciousness of his Innocence could have supported him under his Distress. fore the Trial, Capt. Crookshanks was infulted at

odo

dee

ban fer

erfe ivin

n.

of

her

e d

car

70

47

uis 748

en

ed

fitte ted in his Cabbin by Mr. Hughes, who , " He had Orders, from the Commodore, to prevent his having any prithe vate Discourse with the Officers." If the mmodore gave fuch Orders, it shews his tiality: If not, Mr. Hughes was very imtinent, and Capt. Crookshanks is to be nmended for treating him in the Manner deserved. When the Trial commenced, miral Knowles intimidated, every Person der his Command, from affifting Capt. okshanks in writing for him; which was laborious a Task for a Man to undergo, o was afraid of leaving himself naked and n to the Judge-Advocate, after his inman Declaration.

MR. Knowles, had taken an unprecedent-Measure in not bringing Captain Erskine a Trial before Capt. Grookshanks: And Judge-Advocate began the Trial with h an extra-judicial Proceeding as may e no Precedent in Cases of this Nature. would allow of none but viva voce Evibut the: Yet he suffered two Letters to be uld blickly read in Court from two Clergy-Re- n, who knew nothing of the Matter; in- the also read himself a very long Depo-E 2 fition

fition made by Capt. Erskine, which is introduced with a strange Apology, and sa he did not doubt that Deposition would be regarded, and have its due Weight. The Court said, it could have no Weight!

As Captain Erskine's Deposition was read Capt. Crookshanks, expected he might have been indulged to read his Defence: He asked Leave, but was refused, because it was thought irregular. There would have been no greater Breach of Regularity in reading his Defence, than in reading the Deposition of his Adversary, which was a Corroboration of the Charge; and though the Coustaid it had no Weight, it certainly had much Influence.

CAPTAIN Crooksbanks informed the Court, that he had drawn up a Complain against Capt. Erskine: But he was not permitted to ask any Questions relating to the Conduct of his Adversary; because the Judge-Advocate said, "that such (a) Questions tended only to fish out Matter whereon more fully to found and strengther

ich I

nd fai

uld H

Th

hay

bee din

itio

ora

" a Complaint against Capt. Erskine; and " that as he would be tried, they might then " properly fall in Course." Capt. Crookshanks made a very pertinent Reply, and shewed the Necessity he was under to examine into the Conduct of Capt. Erskine in Justification of himself; because the Operations of the two Ships were fo interwoven, and dependant on each other, that the Anfwers to Questions regarding the one, would illustrate the Management of the other. But the Judge-Advocate prevailed, and imposed on the Court, by affuring them that Capt. Erskine would be tried; which was never done, though repeated Applications have been made for that Purpose, and to this Minute he is answerable for his Conduct, as well as he who skreened him from Justice.

This Partiality was carried on in America, and Capt. Crooksbanks afterwards found it had created a great Prejudice against him in England. That Prejudice has been infurmountable: It was sown in a hard Soil, where it took too deep a Root to be remov'd; which is explained in Page 131 and 136.

THE Sentence has been already shewn; and was never intended by the Members of the Court-Martial, other than as a temporary Puhishment for an Error in Judgment, not to be continued as a perpetual Act of Severity. If Capt. Crookshanks had been allowed to enquire into the Conduct of Capt. Erskine, he might have exculpated himself, and have been honourably acquitted: But no Question was admitted to be asked, and answered, at his Trial, that had the least Tendency to involve Capt. Erskine. This (a) appears to have been done upon a Pretence, maliciously advanced, and pleaded by the Judge-Advocate, to misguide and deceive the : Members of the Court, whom he told, their present Business was confined to try Capt. Grookshanks only, and they were to expect another Opportunity to enquire into the Conduct of Capt. Erskine: Therefore, they must suspend all such Questions and Enquiry, till Capt. Erskine had an Opportunity to make his Defence, before that, or some other Court-Martial, which would foon be appointed for his Trial,

A Man of Honour would feek a Trial; which Capt. Erskine has rejected. This is very

very ftrange, when we confider that a regular Complaint was laid against him, by Capt. Grookshanks to Admiral Knowles, on the third of February 1748. That Complaint confifted of five Articles, charging him with Difebedience, in not obeying the Signal to chace: With not proceding to attack the Enemy; but tacking, and engaging in a confused diforderly Manner; quitting the Enemy with inconfiderable Loss, when the Lark was coming to his Support; and separating from his commanding Officer without waiting for the appointed Signal. Capt. Crookshanks, on the 21st of July 1747, wrote to Commodore Knowles for a public Enquiry, and was very tender in making the first Complaint, that he might avoid all Suspicion of foreclosing any Evidence that Capt. Erskine could give. But Capt. Crooksbanks, by this ill-timed Lenity, unhappily foreclosed himself from the Advantages he must have obtained, if Capt. Erskine had been tried.

CAPTAIN Crooksbanks, upon the third Day of his Trial, informed the Court, that he had a Complaint against Capt. Erskine, and by their Advice, sent it to Admiral Knowles, who slew into a Passion, and said, "what

se does he mean by plaguing me with his " Complaints? He may write to the Lords " of the Admiralty: I will have nothing to do with it." Capt. Crookshanks, soon after, again offered his Articles of Complaint against Captain Erskine to Admiral Knowles, and defired that a Court-Martial might be ordered. The Admiral told him, he would confider of it. At another Time, he faid, he was very bufy. But Capt. Crookskanks perfevered in his Application to have Captain Erskine tried. The Admiral trifled about it for fome Days; and then, told Captain Crookshanks, he was advised, it was not in his Power to bring Capt. Erskine to a trial: But that he would fummons the Captains, and ask their Opinions. He said they were of the fame Opinion with himself; though it appeared, he never asked their Sentiments, or spoke a Syllable about it to any of them; if he had, they would have told him that Capt. Erskine ought to be tried. The Admiral, himself, acknowledged, that Capt. Erksine should desire to be tried, and expressed a Concern that he had not ordered him to be tried before. At last, he told Capt. Crookshanks, he could not think he had Authority to try Captain Erksine, without particular Orders from the Lords

Lords of the Admiralty, to whom he would transmit the Letters, and desire their Instructions. This was only Amusement; for the Admiral soon after told some Gentlemen, that Capt. Crookshanks was applying at Home to have Capt. Erskine tried; "but that he had "taken Care to put a Spoke in the Wheel." In this Manner, Capt. Erskine was skreened from a Trial in America, upon a Charge for which he was accountable to his King and Country. If the Admiral thought him guilty, he should have brought him to a Trial: And if Capt. Erskine thought himself innocent, he should have insisted upon a publick Opportunity to have got his Acquital.

It may be easily seen why this Matter was stifled in America: But it is difficult to discover why it was also suppressed in England, after Capt. Crookshanks arrived there, and applied to the Admiralty Board for a Review of his own Trial, and that Capt. Erskine might be tried, which would enable Capt. Crookshanks to exculpate himself from the Charge laid against him. Capt. Crookshanks had the Mortification to find the Application attributed to a recriminating Temper; and to be told, that he "ought to have applied abroad, in proper time, to his Commander

F

" in Chief, if he intended to have brought "Capt. Erskine to a Trial." He replied, he had neglected nothing on his Part for that Purpose: But all his Applications to vindicate his own Conduct, and expose the Misconduct of his Adversary, were totally disregarded.

ADMIRAL Knowles could not be prevailed on to bring Capt. Erskine to a Trial in America, and he took Care to prevent it in England, where a superior, discretionary Power is lodged in the Admiralty for the Redress of any extraordinary Grievance. From the whole State of the Case, there are the strongest Marks of an unjust Partiality; and illegal Abuse of Power; with a long Series of Oppression, supported by mean Artifices and iniquitous Practices. Capt. Crookshanks has petitioned his Majesty to be restored to his Rank; and his Petition was referred to the Lords of the Admiralty to report their Opinions thereon: But no Report has been made, and he still labours under the Severity of a Sentence, which would never have been passed against him, if he had been indulged with a fair Opportunity of making a just and proper Defence.

This is an impartial State of the Case, as it appears to me; and forry I am to say, that the

t

ŀ

he Scourge of Oppression lay in improper stands. Capt. Dent, the President of the Court-Martial, invited and carried Captain Crockshanks on board his Ship the Plymouth, from Jamaica to England; to be sure he hought him innocent of the Charge, and was oncerned to see him under such a Sentence: For it is well known, that Capt. Dent would have shewed no Countenance, to a Man whom he suspected of Cowardice.

I would not have you missed with a Notion, that the Case of Capt. Crooksbanks is no late in the Day for Redress; or that he hould have made it known long before: If he has been injured some Years ago, he hought now to be redressed when his Grievance is proved. That is now clearly done, as far as I am able to distinguish; and I am he more inclined to think so, because what he has advanced as Facts, are not contradicted or opposed, which would have been the Case, of the Face of Truth had not awed the Hand of Oppression.

THE Navy is the Bulwark of our Nation, and it is very imprudent to check the Spirit of its Officers by any despotic Proceedings. Men of known Honour have erred in Judgment, and have been punished for the sake of

Discipline; but not as Criminals. So have been hunted down upon little Miscriages; and others has been elavated whether they ought to have sunk. In fact, Power can do great Things, and Merit must subtrict it happens to thwart.

For my Part, I think myself obliged Capt. Grookshanks, for opening a Scene Iniquity to the World; and I shall hold for Truth, while I see it uncontradicted. Chis Case be a Secret to the Gentlemen of Navy? No: It must be minutely known Swarms of Officers of every Class. Cantheread? Do they reslect? Who can doubt It is very easy then to guess how they see perhaps in sorrowful Silence!

I SHALL say no more; but desire you to read the Book, and let me have you Sentiments. I think you will be of man Opinion; if not, I will endeavour to be yours. I have seen Oppression in the Book Let me have no Flattery in your Letter.

I am, Sir, &c

FINIS.